

On the Renormalization of Hamiltonians

G. Alexanian^{a,*} and E. F. Moreno^{a,b,†}

^a *Physics Department, City College of the City University of New York
New York NY 10031, USA*

^b *Baruch College, City University of New York
New York NY 10010, USA*

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We introduce a novel method for the renormalization of the Hamiltonian operator in Quantum Field Theory in the spirit of the Wilson renormalization group. By a series of unitary transformations that successively decouples the high-frequency degrees of freedom and partially diagonalizes the high-energy part, we obtain the effective Hamiltonian for the low energy degrees of freedom. We successfully apply this technique to compute the 2-loop renormalized Hamiltonian in scalar $\lambda \phi^4$ theory.

*E-mail address: garnik@scisun.sci.ccny.cuny.edu

†E-mail address: moreno@scisun.sci.ccny.cuny.edu

1 Introduction

The subject of renormalization for the Hamiltonian operator has been looked into extensively for the past decade [1]. Yet it is hardly being used in any practical computation in field theory, since usually all the intermediate steps are non-covariant and, generally, rather complicated compared to the standard approach. Nevertheless the concept of “integrating out” of the high frequency degrees of freedom has much more physical meaning precisely in the Hamiltonian framework. One way to present it would be to set up the whole procedure as a Born-Oppenheimer approximation used in atomic physics [2]. Another way to look at it would be to introduce a unitary transformation in order to decouple “high” and “low” modes and then look at the low-energy part of the spectrum [3]. In this letter we want to introduce a renormalization technique in the spirit of the Wilsonian renormalization [4], *i.e.*, integration of fast degrees of freedom, appropriate for the Hamiltonian (Schrödinger) formalism [5]. It is similar in essence to both [2] and [3], but appears to be very different in practice. Basically what we want to see is how the high frequency degrees of freedom modify the low energy Hamiltonian operator, or equivalently, what Hamiltonian in term of low frequency modes produces the same low energy physics as the original Hamiltonian. This technique was successfully applied in a previous paper by the authors to the 1-loop renormalization of Abelian and non-Abelian gauges theories [6].

For the sake of explicitness let us consider a system described by a Hamiltonian $H(\Lambda)$ defined up to the momentum scale (cut-off) Λ ; that is, $H(\Lambda)$ produces finite results. Now if μ is a lower momentum scale, we want to find a new Hamiltonian operator $H(\mu)$ that generates the same results for all the physical processes which do not involve momenta greater than μ . The definition of $H(\mu)$ is simple: $H(\mu)$ is the projection of the original Hamiltonian $H(\Lambda)$ onto the low frequency subspace, *i.e.*, the high frequency vacuum,

$$H(\mu) = \mathcal{P}_{low} H(\Lambda) \mathcal{P}_{low}. \quad (1)$$

The claim is that, at least perturbatively, it is possible to decouple the low frequency modes from the high frequency modes and thus give sense to the notion of “low frequency subspace”. In essence we will show that after a suitable unitary transformation we can partially diagonalize the Hamiltonian and construct the vacuum for high momentum modes. Therefore we can rewrite eq. (1) as

$$H(\mu) = \langle 0_{high} | U^\dagger(\Lambda, \mu) H(\Lambda) U(\Lambda, \mu) | 0_{high} \rangle. \quad (2)$$

Now let us explain how to construct the unitary operator $U(\Lambda, \mu)$. As we have explained before, the main goal is to identify the ground state of the high frequency modes and then project the whole Hamiltonian onto this state. So if we can find a unitary transformation that: *i)* separates the low energy modes from the high energy modes and, *ii)* diagonalizes the high energy part of the Hamiltonian (for example in terms of the creation and annihilation operators of the high frequencies), we are done: the ground state will be then the state

annihilated by all the high frequency annihilation operators. This task is of course difficult, but in fact we need less than that. Since we only need to identify the vacuum state of the high momenta Hamiltonian and not the whole spectrum, only a partial diagonalization is enough. In fact, only those non-diagonal terms containing purely creation operators or purely annihilation operators have to be removed from the Hamiltonian. Other non-diagonal terms containing both creation and annihilation operators do not change the vacuum state of the theory (once the aforementioned terms have been removed).

We now show how this work in more detail. Suppose that after a unitary transformation we bring a Hamiltonian to the form $H_{diag} + V$ where V contains only terms with at least one creation operator *and* one annihilation operator. That is, V can be written as $V = a_i^\dagger M_{ij} a_j$ where M is an arbitrary operator and i, j are generic indices. Then, standard perturbation theory tell us that the correction to an arbitrary state $|n\rangle$ is given by

$$|\delta n\rangle = \sum_{l \neq n} \frac{\langle l|V|n\rangle}{(E_l - E_n)} |l\rangle + \sum_{l, n \neq m} \frac{\langle l|V|m\rangle \langle m|V|n\rangle}{(E_l - E_m)(E_m - E_n)} |l\rangle + \dots \quad (3)$$

Therefore, if $|n\rangle \equiv |0\rangle$, the vacuum state, it is annihilated by V and there is no correction to it at any order in perturbation theory. The ground state is unaffected by V .

Now we can proceed to find the unitary transformation of eq. (2). First we split the original Hamiltonian into four pieces,

$$H = H_1 + H_2 + V_A + V_B. \quad (4)$$

Here H_1 contains only the modes with momenta less than μ , H_2 is the *free* part for all the modes with momenta greater than μ and $V_A + V_B$ contains mixing terms and all non-diagonal high-momentum operators; V_A contains the “pure” terms that have only high frequency creation operators or high frequency annihilation operators, but not both, and V_B the “impure” remaining terms (we assume here that V_A and V_B are normal-ordered with respect to the free perturbative vacuum). Then we break the unitary operator U in a product series, $U = U_0 U_1 \dots U_n \dots$ and we compute all the terms successively. The objective of each individual U_n is to partially diagonalize the Hamiltonian, at a given order in λ (a generic coupling of the theory) and μ/Λ . Let us show how to achieve this.

We proceed iteratively by first diagonalizing the Hamiltonian at leading order in λ , up to the desired accuracy in μ/Λ . Consider first a unitary operator U_0 written as $e^{i\Omega_0}$. Now we perform a unitary transformation on equation (4), expanding in powers of Ω (in the general case Ω is at least of order λ so at a given order only a finite number of terms are needed):

$$e^{-i\Omega_0} (H_1 + H_2 + V_A + V_B) e^{i\Omega_0} = H_1 + H_2 + V_A + V_B + i[H_1, \Omega_0] + i[H_2, \Omega_0] + i[V_A, \Omega_0] + i[V_B, \Omega_0] \dots \quad (5)$$

As we have explained above, we want to eliminate the “pure” mixing terms V_A , while we generate an expansion in μ/Λ . Thus, we impose the following condition

on Ω_0 ,

$$i[H_2, \Omega_0] + V_A = 0. \quad (6)$$

This equation can be solved perturbatively and since commutators with H_2 generate time derivatives of the high frequency fields we have the desired expansion. Notice that equation (6) defines Ω_0 up to terms that commute with H_2 . This ambiguity corresponds to the redefinition of the low energy Hamiltonian H_1 by a unitary transformation. We will assume some kind of a “minimal” scheme - namely that Ω_0 does not have a part that commutes with H_2 .

After Ω_0 is chosen to cancel V_A in the effective Hamiltonian, a new mixing term of order λ appears from $i[H_1, \Omega_0]$. However this new term is of a higher order in μ/Λ and will be eliminated by the next unitary transformation $U_1 = e^{i\Omega_1}$. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\Omega_1} e^{-i\Omega_0} (H_1 + H_2 + V_A + V_B) e^{i\Omega_0} e^{i\Omega_1} &= H_1 + H_2 + V_B + \\ i[H_1, \Omega_0] + i[H_2, \Omega_1] + i[H_1, \Omega_1] + \text{second order terms} \dots \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and we now choose Ω_1 so that

$$i[H_1, \Omega_0] + i[H_2, \Omega_1] = 0. \quad (8)$$

Using equations (7) and (8) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\Omega_1} e^{-i\Omega_0} (H_1 + H_2 + V_{12}) e^{i\Omega_0} e^{i\Omega_1} &= H_1 + H_2 + V_B + \frac{i}{2} [V_A, \Omega_0] + \\ i[V_B, \Omega_0] + i[V_B, \Omega_1] + i[H_1, \Omega_1] - \frac{1}{2} [[H_1, \Omega_0], \Omega_0] - \\ \frac{1}{2} [[H_1, \Omega_0], \Omega_1] - \frac{1}{2} [[H_1, \Omega_1], \Omega_1] + \text{higher order terms} \dots \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Now it is easy to deduce the logic of the procedure: the next step is to introduce Ω_2 in order to cancel $i[H_1, \Omega_1]$, which is of higher order in μ/Λ , and continue with the same process until we have attained the desired accuracy. Notice that by construction

$$[H_2, \Omega_{n+1}] = -[H_1, \Omega_n] \quad (10)$$

and $H_2 \approx \Lambda, H_1 \approx \mu$, then

$$\Omega_{n+1} \approx \frac{\mu}{\Lambda} \Omega_n, \quad (11)$$

and any new Ω is smaller than the previous one by a factor of μ/Λ .

Of course so far we have only eliminated the high momenta degrees of freedom up to the first order in the coupling constant. Requiring the absence of the λ^2 - order mixing terms will lead to the introduction of a whole new series of unitary transformations, and the same arguments can be applied to them.

As a final remark, notice that the “partial” diagonalization at first order in λ defines a correct low-energy effective Hamiltonian (after projecting onto the high frequency vacuum) which is valid up to the order λ^3 . The reason is that since all the relevant terms of order λ have been cancelled, the introduction of the new series of Ω 's of order λ^2 will only modify the Hamiltonian at order λ^4 .

2 A quantum-mechanical example

In this section we illustrate the ideas of the previous section by studying in some detail a quantum mechanical “toy model” where the main ingredients of our technique can be presented in a simpler context.

Consider the following Hamiltonian of two coupled anharmonic oscillators,

$$H = \frac{\omega_1}{2} (P^2 + Q^2) + \frac{\omega_2}{2} (p^2 + q^2) + \lambda (Q + q)^4 \quad (12)$$

where $\omega_1 \ll \omega_2$. Now we want to find the effective Hamiltonian for P and Q resulting from “integrating out” the high frequency modes p and q . We choose to compute the effective Hamiltonian up to to order λ^3 and $(\omega_1/\omega_2)^3$.

According to the discussion of the previous section we divide the Hamiltonian in four pieces as in eq. (4),

$$H = H_1 + H_2 + V_A + V_B \quad (13)$$

where the various terms have the form:

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \frac{\omega_1}{2} (P^2 + Q^2) + \lambda \left(\frac{3}{4} + 3 Q^2 + Q^4 \right), \\ H_2 &= \omega_2 a^\dagger a, \\ V_A &= \lambda \left\{ \frac{3}{2} a^2 + \frac{3}{2} a^{\dagger 2} + \frac{1}{4} a^4 + \frac{1}{4} a^{\dagger 4} + \sqrt{2} Q (3a + 3a^\dagger + a^3 + a^{\dagger 3}) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. 3 Q^2 (a^2 + a^{\dagger 2}) + 2^{\frac{3}{2}} Q^3 (a + a^\dagger) \right\}, \\ V_B &= \lambda \left\{ 3a^\dagger a + a^\dagger a^3 + 6a^{\dagger 2} a^2 + a^{\dagger 3} a + 3\sqrt{2} Q (a^\dagger a^2 + a^{\dagger 2} a) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. 6 Q^2 a^\dagger a \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

In eq. (14), a and $a^\dagger$ are the annihilation and the creation operators respectively of the high frequency states, defined through $a \equiv (p + iq)/\sqrt{2}$ and $a^\dagger \equiv (p - iq)/\sqrt{2}$.

Now we are ready to perform the first unitary transformation $U_0 = e^{i\Omega_0}$. As explained in Section 1, Ω_0 is chosen in order to satisfy equation (6). Using the expressions given in eq. (14) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 &= i\lambda \frac{1}{\omega_2} \left\{ \frac{3}{4} (a^{\dagger 2} - a^2) + \sqrt{2} Q \left(3(a^\dagger - a) + \frac{1}{3} (a^{\dagger 3} - a^3) \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{3}{2} Q^2 (a^{\dagger 2} - a^2) + 2^{\frac{3}{2}} Q^3 (a^\dagger - a) + \frac{1}{16} (a^{\dagger 4} - a^4) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

After performing the unitary transformation with the above expression for Ω_0 , we obtain the leading order approximation of the diagonalization of the whole Hamiltonian: we have eliminated the non-diagonal “pure” terms V_A at the expense of creating new ones in $[H_1, \Omega_0]$. However those new terms are of order of ω_1/ω_2 as can be seen clearly from eqs. (14) and (15).

The following step is then to cancel this newly created non-diagonal terms with the next unitary transformation $U_1 = e^{i\Omega_1}$ where Ω_1 satisfies equation (8). A straightforward calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_1 = & i\lambda \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2^2} \left\{ \frac{3}{4}(a^{\dagger 2} + a^2) + i\sqrt{2} P \left(3(a^{\dagger} + a) + \frac{1}{9}(a^{\dagger 3} + a^3) \right) + \right. \\ & \left. i\frac{3}{2} Q P (a^{\dagger 2} + a^2) - i6\sqrt{2} Q^2 P (a^{\dagger} + a) \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

Finally, to reach the desired accuracy in $\frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2^3}$ we need also Ω_2 , defined through the relation $[H_1, \Omega_1] + [H_2, \Omega_2] = 0$. We find

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_2 = & -\lambda \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^3} \left\{ 12(a^{\dagger} - a) - i\sqrt{2} Q \left(3(a^{\dagger} - a) - \frac{1}{27}(a^{\dagger 3} - a^3) \right) - \right. \\ & \left. i\frac{3}{4} (P^2 + Q^2) (a^{\dagger 2} - a^2) + i12\sqrt{2} Q P^2 (a^{\dagger} - a) + i6\sqrt{2} Q^3 (a^{\dagger} - a) \right\}.\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

Now we are ready to compute the “low energy” effective Hamiltonian. Recalling the discussion of the previous section, we have the following expression for the effective Hamiltonian, up to the order λ^3 and $1/\omega_2^3$:

$$\begin{aligned}H_{eff} = & \langle 0 | \left\{ H_1 + H_2 + V_B + \frac{i}{2} [V_A, \Omega_0] + i[V_B, \Omega_0] + i[V_B, \Omega_1] + i[V_B, \Omega_2] - \right. \\ & \frac{1}{2} [[H_1, \Omega_0], \Omega_0] - \frac{1}{2} [[H_1, \Omega_0], \Omega_1] - \frac{1}{3} [[V_A, \Omega_0], \Omega_0] - \frac{1}{2} [[V_A, \Omega_0], \Omega_1] - \\ & \left. \frac{1}{2} [[V_B, \Omega_0], \Omega_0] - [[V_B, \Omega_0], \Omega_1] - \frac{i}{6} [[H_1, \Omega_0], \Omega_0], \Omega_0 \right\} | 0 \rangle.\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

Here $|0\rangle$ is the vacuum associated to the operators a and $a^{\dagger}$ leaving the P and Q operators untouched. For the sake of completeness we have written the whole expression derived from the rules described in Section 1. However, some of the terms vanish upon projection.

Finally after a long but straightforward computation we have the desired low frequency Hamiltonian :

$$\begin{aligned}H_{eff} = & \frac{\omega_1}{2} (P^2 + Q^2) + \lambda \left(\frac{3}{4} + 3 Q^2 + Q^4 \right) + \lambda^2 \left(-\frac{21}{8} \frac{1}{\omega_2} + \frac{29}{3} \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2^2} + \right. \\ & \frac{153}{4} \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^3} + \left(-31 \frac{1}{\omega_2} + 45 \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2^2} + 144 \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^3} \right) Q^2 - \frac{166}{9} \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^3} P^2 + \\ & 162 i \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^3} Q P - 81 \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^3} Q^2 P^2 + 288 i \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^3} Q^3 P + \left(36 \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2^2} - \frac{33}{\omega_2} \right) Q^4 + \\ & 72 \frac{\omega_1^2}{\omega_2^3} Q^4 P^2 - \frac{8}{\omega_2} Q^6 \Big) + \lambda^3 \left(\frac{333}{16} \frac{1}{\omega_2} - 178 \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2^2} + \left(\frac{168}{4} \frac{1}{\omega_2} - \right. \right. \\ & \left. \frac{3653}{2} \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2^3} \right) Q^2 + \left(\frac{888}{\omega_2^2} - 3132 \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2^3} \right) Q^4 + \left(\frac{534}{\omega_2^2} - 1224 \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2^3} \right) Q^6 + \\ & \left. \frac{96}{\omega_2^2} Q^8 \right) + O(\lambda^4, 1/\omega_2^4).\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

The skeptical reader can verify, using standard Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory, that the spectrum of the effective Hamiltonian (19) is the same as the low energy spectrum (*i.e.*, the part of the spectrum that remains finite if $\omega_2 \rightarrow \infty$) of the original Hamiltonian (12).

3 Scalar Field Theory

In this section we apply the same formalism to the case of a scalar field with the quartic self-interaction, $\lambda \phi^4$. We will determine the effective Hamiltonian H up to the two-loop order.

Before proceeding to the loop calculation we have to explain how renormalization is performed in our formalism. As was shown in Section 1, by projecting to the vacuum state for the “high” momentum modes we obtain the effective Hamiltonian H_{eff} . Since our system is supposed to have had an ultraviolet cut-off Λ from the very beginning, H_{eff} will explicitly depend on this UV scale. The renormalization procedure consists of modifying the original Hamiltonian H by introducing renormalization Z -factors that depend on the UV cut-off Λ and some arbitrary “renormalization scale” M . Each of the Z ’s depends on Λ and M in such a way that the effective Hamiltonian obtained from it does not depend on Λ ; in fact it has to look exactly like the original one except that all Λ ’s should be replaced by μ - the scale down to which we are integrating out.

Let us start from the “bare” Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^3x \left(\frac{1}{2} \Pi_\Phi^2(x) + \frac{1}{2} \Phi(x) \left(-\vec{\nabla}^2 + m^2 \right) \Phi(x) + \lambda \Phi^4(x) \right), \quad (20)$$

and introduce a Z factor for each composite operator,

$$H = \int \left(\frac{Z_\pi}{2} \Pi_\Phi^2(x) + \frac{Z_\phi}{2} \Phi(x) \left(-\vec{\nabla}^2 \right) \Phi(x) + Z_m Z_\phi m^2 \Phi^2(x) + \lambda Z_\lambda Z_\phi^2 \Phi^4(x) \right) \quad (21)$$

Each of the Z -factors has a perturbative expansion in λ , where we are assuming that λ has been defined by some renormalization prescription at the renormalization scale M (λ is the “renormalized” coupling in the language of the standard renormalization group). Generically:

$$Z = 1 + f_1(\Lambda)\lambda + f_2(\Lambda)\lambda^2 + f_3(\Lambda)\lambda^3 + \dots \quad (22)$$

The functions f_n will be chosen order-by-order from the requirement that after integration of the modes from μ to Λ all the corrections sum up in such a way that $Z(\Lambda) \rightarrow Z(\mu)$. When doing the one-loop corrections one can therefore assume that all the Z ’s are initially 1 and choose the corresponding f ’s from the condition that high-cutoff dependence be cancelled after computing the H_{eff} to one loop. For the second loop we use the one-loop Z -corrected Hamiltonian and determine f_n to the next order in λ by the same procedure.

According to our philosophy we have to identify the purely “low” part H_1 , the free “high” momentum part H_2 and the interaction terms V_A and V_B . First

we split the original field $\Phi(x)$ into its low and high frequency components:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x) &= \phi(x) + \chi(x), \\ \phi(x) &= \sum_{k < \mu} \Phi_k e^{ikx}, \quad \chi(x) = \sum_{\mu < k < \Lambda} \Phi_k e^{ikx}.\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

By virtue of the equations (23), $\int \phi(x)\chi(x) = 0$ and the original Hamiltonian (20) can be rewritten as sum on the four pieces $H = H_1 + H_2 + V_A + V_B$:

$$H_1 = \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi_\phi^2(x) + \frac{1}{2} \phi(x) \left(-\vec{\nabla}^2 + m^2 \right) \phi(x) + \lambda \phi^4(x) \right), \quad (24)$$

$$H_2 = \int \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi_\chi^2(x) + \frac{1}{2} \chi(x) \left(-\vec{\nabla}^2 + m^2 \right) \chi(x) \right), \quad (25)$$

$$V_A + V_B = \lambda \int \left(\chi^4(x) + 4\phi\chi^3 + 6\phi^2\chi^2 + 4\phi^3\chi \right). \quad (26)$$

In order to separate V_A and V_B , according to the discussion of Section 1, we introduce the second-quantized form of the high-momentum modes χ ,

$$\chi(x) = \sum_{\mu < k < \Lambda} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_k}} \left(a_k e^{ikx} + a_k^\dagger e^{-ikx} \right) \quad (27)$$

Here $\omega_k = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$ and can be taken $\omega_k \approx |k|$ for $\mu \gg m$. The operators a_k and $a_k^\dagger$ satisfy the standard commutation relations $[a_k, a_k^\dagger] = \delta_{kp}$. Upon substituting this definition in the expressions (25) and (26) and normal-ordering the result with respect to “high” modes we can identify all the terms that contain only creation or only annihilation operators; these terms form V_A . The rest of the interaction part forms V_B .

$$H_2 = \sum_{\mu < k < \Lambda} \omega_k a_k^\dagger a_k, \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned}V_A = \lambda \sum \left\{ \frac{e^{i(k+p+q+r)x} a_k a_p a_q a_r}{4\sqrt{\omega_k \omega_p \omega_q \omega_r}} + \frac{2\phi(x) e^{i(k+p+q)x} a_k a_p a_q}{\sqrt{2}\omega_k \omega_p \omega_q} + \right. \\ \left. \frac{3\phi^2(x) e^{i(k+p)x} a_k a_p}{\sqrt{\omega_k \omega_p}} + \frac{4\phi^3(x) e^{ikx} a_k}{\sqrt{2}\omega_k} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{\omega_p} \frac{a_k a_{-k}}{\omega_k} + \text{h. c.} \right\}, \quad (29)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}V_B = \lambda \sum \left\{ \frac{e^{i(p+q+r-k)x} a_k^\dagger a_p a_q a_r}{\sqrt{\omega_k \omega_p \omega_q \omega_r}} + \frac{3 e^{i(q+r-k-p)x} a_k^\dagger a_p^\dagger a_q a_r}{\sqrt{\omega_k \omega_p \omega_q \omega_r}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{6\phi(x) e^{i(p+q-k)x} a_k^\dagger a_p a_q}{\sqrt{2}\omega_k \omega_p \omega_q} + \text{h. c.} \right\} \quad (30)\end{aligned}$$

Notice that already at this stage we have some contributions to the effective Hamiltonian due to the normal-ordering of the terms of type $\phi^2(x) a_k a_p^\dagger$; this term explicitly depends on the UV cut-off Λ and can be included into H_1 ,

$$\delta H_1 = 6\lambda \sum_{\mu < k < \Lambda} \frac{1}{2\omega_k} \int \phi^2(x) \approx 3\lambda \frac{\Lambda^2 - \mu^2}{4\pi^2} \int \phi^2(x), \quad (31)$$

where we have made the standard replacement $\sum_k \rightarrow \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}$. Equation (31) is, of course, the standard “tadpole”, one-loop mass renormalization.

In order to pick up the only other one-loop contribution, the coupling constant renormalization, we have to follow the general procedure of Section I and determine Ω_0 for the first unitary transformation. Using equation (6) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 = & (-i)\lambda \sum \left\{ \frac{4 \phi^3(x) e^{ikx} a_k}{\sqrt{2\omega_k} \omega_k} + \frac{3 \phi^2(x) e^{i(k+p)x} a_k a_p}{\sqrt{\omega_k \omega_p} (\omega_k + \omega_p)} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{\omega_p} \frac{a_k a_{-k}}{\omega_k^2} + \right. \\ & \left. \frac{2\phi(x) e^{i(k+p+q)x} a_k a_p a_q}{\sqrt{2 \omega_k \omega_p \omega_q} (\omega_k + \omega_p + \omega_q)} + \frac{e^{i(k+p+q+r)x} a_k a_p a_q a_r}{4 \sqrt{\omega_k \omega_p \omega_q \omega_r} (\omega_k + \omega_p + \omega_q + \omega_r)} - \text{h.c.} \right\} \quad (32) \end{aligned}$$

To find the correction to the Hamiltonian we expand the unitary transformations and project onto the high energy vacuum. The contributions to H_{eff} are exactly the same as in equation (18).

Now we have to determine the potentially divergent contributions (if $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$) that will emerge after projection to the vacuum state. In doing so the following naive power-counting rule is useful:

- a) Each Ω_n brings $k^{(n+1)}$ (momentum) to the denominator.
- b) Each contraction contributes with k^{-1} .
- c) For each loop integration we have a contribution of k^3 .

Therefore, each term for which the total degree of divergence of a term is greater than or equal to zero, has to be taken into account. Of course, there may be overlapping divergences in computing the proper expressions, but those have to be studied individually, term by term.

By inspection of equation (18), is easy to see that at this order there are no contributions to the terms Π^2 and $\Phi(-\vec{\nabla}^2)\Phi$ (i. e., $Z_\pi = Z_\phi = 1$) and the only other one-loop term is the coupling constant renormalization. This contribution comes from the commutator $[V_A, \Omega_0]$ when contracting twice the high energy fields. The rest of the terms are not important at this stage: the commutators $[V_B, \Omega_0]$ and $[H_1, \Omega_0]$ are zero when projected onto the vacuum. After projecting onto the high frequency vacuum we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H_1|_{\phi^4}^{\lambda^2} &= -18\lambda^2 \int \phi(x)\phi(y) \frac{dp dq}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{1}{\omega_k \omega_p (\omega_k + \omega_p)} e^{i(p-q)(x-y)} \\ &= -\frac{9\lambda^2}{2\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right) \left[\int \phi^4(x) d^3x \right]. \quad (33) \end{aligned}$$

Expressions (31) and (33) give the two contributions to H_{eff} at one-loop. From them we can deduce the renormalization factors Z_m and Z_λ :

$$Z_m = 1 - 3\lambda \frac{(\Lambda^2 - M^2)}{2\pi^2}, \quad Z_\lambda = 1 + \frac{9\lambda^2}{2\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda}{M}\right). \quad (34)$$

This finishes the one-loop renormalization procedure. (In refs. [2, 7] there is an extra one-loop counterterm of order λ due to the definition of the “effective” wave functional in the Schrödinger representation). Before going to the

next order in coupling, we should notice that there is a two-loop contribution coming from the same term $[V_A, \Omega_0]$ in (18), when contracting the high energy fields three times. Its leading divergence is quadratic and it has a logarithmic subleading divergent part that gives rise to the two-loop wave-function renormalization,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta H_1|_{\phi^2}^{\lambda^2} &= -\frac{12\lambda^2}{(2\pi)^9} \left\{ \int d^3r \phi(r) \phi(-r) \right\} \int \frac{d^3p d^3q}{\omega_p \omega_q \omega_{r-p-q} (\omega_p + \omega_q + \omega_{r-p-q})} \\ &= -\frac{3\lambda^2}{\pi^4} (2 \ln 2 - 1) \Lambda^2 \int \frac{1}{2} \phi^2(x) d^3x + \frac{3\lambda^2}{8\pi^4} \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right) \int \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\nabla} \phi(x))^2\end{aligned}\quad (35)$$

Extra contributions to H_{eff} at two-loops come from the terms

$$\delta H = \langle 0 | \left(-\frac{1}{2} [[H_1, \Omega_0], \Omega_1] + i [V_B, \Omega_1] - \frac{1}{2} [[H_1, \Omega_1], \Omega_1] \right) | 0 \rangle. \quad (36)$$

However using the power counting rules described above we can see that the only divergent contribution comes from the first term. Following equation (8) we determine the operator Ω_1 :

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_1 &= (-i)\lambda \sum \left\{ \frac{4 [H_1, \phi^3(x)] e^{ikx} a_k}{\sqrt{2\omega_k \omega_k^2}} + \frac{3 [H_1, \phi^2(x)] e^{i(k+p)x} a_k a_p}{\sqrt{2\omega_k \omega_p (\omega_k + \omega_p)^2}} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{2 [H_1, \phi(x)] e^{i(k+p+q)x} a_k a_p a_q}{\sqrt{2\omega_k \omega_p \omega_q (\omega_k + \omega_p + \omega_q)^2}} + \frac{e^{i(k+p+q+r)x} a_k a_p a_q a_r}{4\sqrt{\omega_k \omega_p \omega_q \omega_r (\omega_k + \omega_p + \omega_q + \omega_r)^2}} - \text{h.c.} \right\}\end{aligned}\quad (37)$$

and after evaluating a momentum integral similar to the one in eq. (35) we finally get

$$\delta H|_{\pi^2}^{\lambda^2} = -\frac{3\lambda^2}{8\pi^4} \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right) \left\{ \int \frac{1}{2} \pi_\phi^2 d^3x \right\} \quad (38)$$

It is important to point out that the corrections to $(\vec{\nabla} \phi)^2$, eq. (35), and π^2 eq. (38), have equal magnitude and opposite sign as it must be, due to the Lorentz covariance of the theory. In fact, since π_ϕ is represented by $\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi}$, then if ϕ^2 gets corrected by Z then π_ϕ^2 should change by $\frac{1}{Z}$, so that the equal time commutator is preserved. Now we can define the two-loop wave-function renormalization factors Z_ϕ and Z_π as

$$Z_\phi = 1 - \frac{3\lambda^2}{8\pi^4} \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right), \quad (39)$$

$$Z_\pi = \frac{1}{Z_\phi} = 1 + \frac{3\lambda^2}{8\pi^4} \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu}\right). \quad (40)$$

Finally there is one more two-loop contribution that comes at the order λ^3 and renormalizes the $\phi^4(x)$ term. It comes from the terms

$$\delta H = \langle 0 | \left(-\frac{1}{3} [[V_A, \Omega_0], \Omega_0] - \frac{1}{2} [[V_B, \Omega_0], \Omega_0] \right) | 0 \rangle \quad (41)$$

After a somewhat tedious computation we get

$$\delta H_{\phi^4}^{(2-loop)} = \frac{27}{2} \frac{1}{\pi^4} \lambda^3 \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right) + \left[\ln \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\mu} \right) \right]^2 \right\} \int \phi^4(x) d^3x. \quad (42)$$

To compute the entire two-loop correction we have to add to this result the contribution of the one-loop counterterms ,*i.e.*, the one-loop terms with the order λ contributions to the Z -factors. Thus, we can finally deduce the value of the Z_λ factor at two-loops,

$$Z_\lambda = 1 + \frac{9}{2\pi^2} \log(\Lambda/M) \lambda^2 + \left(\frac{81}{4\pi^4} (\log(\Lambda/M))^2 - \frac{51}{4\pi^4} \log(\Lambda/M) \right) \lambda^3. \quad (43)$$

From equations (39) and (43) we get the correct two-loop β -function of the theory,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\lambda) &= \left. \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \log M} \right|_\Lambda = -((\partial_\lambda(\lambda Z_\lambda)|_{\Lambda, M})^{-1} \lambda \left. \frac{\partial Z_\lambda}{\log M} \right|_{\lambda, \Lambda} \\ &= \frac{9}{2\pi^2} \lambda^2 - \frac{51}{4\pi^4} \lambda^3, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

and the correct two-loop anomalous dimension γ :

$$\gamma(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \left. \frac{\partial \log Z_\phi}{\partial \log M} \right|_\Lambda = \frac{3}{16\pi^4} \lambda^2. \quad (45)$$

In summary, we have described in this letter a novel perturbative technique of renormalization in the Hamiltonian (Schrödinger) formalism. We have showed that this method successfully gives the two-loop renormalized Hamiltonian for a scalar Field Theory with a quartic potential. Moreover, in a previous work [6] we have shown that this technique also gives a consistent one-loop renormalization for the Quantum Electrodynamics and the Yang-Mills Hamiltonians where results similar to those from Coulomb gauge covariant calculations were found.

4 Acknowledgements

We wish to thank V. P. Nair and R. Ray for helpful discussions and a critical reading of the manuscript. G.A. was partially supported by CUNY RF grant 6684591433. E.F.M. was partially supported by CUNY Collaborative Incentive Grant 991999.

References

- [1] S. D. Glazek and K. G. Wilson, *Phys. Rev.* **D48**, 5863(1993); S. D. Glazek and K. G. Wilson, *Phys. Rev.* **D49**, 4214 (1994).
- [2] D. Minic and V. P. Nair, *Int. J. Mod. Phys* **A11**, 2749 (1996).

- [3] F. Wegner, *Ann. Physik* **3**, 77 (1994); E. L. Gubankova and F. Wegner, *Phys. Rev.* **D58**, 025012 (1998); T. S. Walhout, hep-th/9806097.
- [4] K. G. Wilson and J. Kogut, *Phys. Rep.* **12**, 75 (1974); K. G. Wilson, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **47**, 773 (1975).
- [5] S. -Y. Pi and M. Samiullah, *Phys. Rev.* **D36**, 3128 (1987); R. Floreanini and R. Jackiw, *Phys. Rev.* **D37**, 2206 (1988).
- [6] G. Alexanian and E. Moreno, “*Renormalization of the Hamiltonian and a geometric interpretation of asymptotic freedom*”, hep-th/9809078.
- [7] K. Symanzik, *Nucl. Phys* **B 190**, 1 (1980).